

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/734,761	12/13/2000	Cha-Mei Tang	40797	4832
759	90 08/30/2002			
Joseph J. Buczynski Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. Suite 600 1300 19th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CHURCH, CRAIG E	
Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

RIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
MAC WOMBEN NEW O DAVE				
	F	EX	EXAMINER	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			17	
	_	ATE MAILED:		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection					
b) 🗌	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period	e petition, and the fee have been of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. filed is the date of the response and also the date for the g amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	pellant's Brief is due in accordance w	ith 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed _8 17/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:								
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:								
	 a. There is no convincing showing presented. 	g under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier					
	b. 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).							
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).							
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.							
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE: Structural "	lemetations are unclear	conveyed by "additional					
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be all	owed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling					
3.	3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔼 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:							
	Claims allowed:							
	Claims objected to:							
	However;							
	Applicant's response has overc	ome the following rejection(s):	11.100					
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for the	reconsideration has been consider	ed but does not overcome the rejection because					
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be copresented.	nsidered because applicant has n	ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier					
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.								
☐ Ot	her							

Craig E. Church Primary Examinar

